

LONDON BOROUGH OF BROMLEY

MINUTES

of the proceedings of the Meeting of the
Council of the Borough
held at 7.00 pm on 24 April 2023

Present:

**The Worshipful the Mayor
Councillor Hannah Gray**

**The Deputy Mayor
Councillor Christine Harris**

Councillors

Jeremy Adams	Dr Sunil Gupta	Angela Page
Jonathan Andrews	FRCP FRCPATH	Chris Price
Jessica Arnold	Colin Hitchins	Chloe-Jane Ross
Felicity Bainbridge	Alisa Igoe	Will Rowlands
Kathy Bance MBE	Julie Ireland	Shaun Slator
Yvonne Bear	Mike Jack	Colin Smith
Nicholas Bennett J.P.	Simon Jeal	Diane Smith
Kim Botting FRSA	David Jefferys	Mark Smith
Mike Botting	Charles Joel	Alison Stammers
Mark Brock	Kevin Kennedy-Brooks	Melanie Stevens
David Cartwright QFSM	Josh King	Harry Stranger
Graeme Casey	Jonathan Laidlaw	Ryan Thomson
Will Connolly	Andrew Lee	Michael Tickner
Aisha Cuthbert	Kate Lymer	Pauline Tunnicliffe
Peter Dean	Keith Onslow	Thomas Turrell
Sophie Dunbar	Tony Owen	Sam Webber
Robert Evans	Christopher Marlow	Rebecca Wiffen
Simon Fawthrop	Ruth McGregor	
Kira Gabbert	Tony McPartlan	
Adam Grant	Alexa Michael	

The meeting was opened with prayers

In the Chair
The Mayor
Councillor Hannah Gray

81 Apologies for absence

All Members were present - there were no apologies for absence

82 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest.

83 To confirm the Minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 27 February 2023

Members requested that the statements made by Councillors Shaun Slator and Kate Lymer be transcribed in full in the minutes.

RESOLVED that, subject to the change set out above, the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 27th February 2023 be confirmed.

84 Questions

Three questions had been received from a member of the public for oral reply. The questions, with the answers given, are set out in Appendix A to these minutes.

Four questions had been received from members of the public for written reply. The questions, with the answers given, are set out in Appendix B to these minutes.

Twelve questions had been received from members of the Council for oral reply. The questions, with the replies given, are set out in Appendix C to these minutes.

Four questions had been received from members of the Council for written reply. The questions, with the answers given, are set out in Appendix D to these minutes.

85 To consider any statements that may be made by the Leader of the Council, Portfolio Holders or Chairmen of Committees.

(A) Payment Options for Parking

At the request of Councillor Julie Ireland and members of the Liberal Democrat Group, Councillor Nicholas Bennett, as Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety, made a statement on payment options for parking in the light of the expectation of the Secretary of State for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities that parking services should remain accessible.

The Portfolio Holder emphasised that the proposals had been supported by the Environment and Community Services PDS Committee at its meeting in November 2022. 92% of the UK population owned a smart-phone, but parking bays could still be booked using a land-line or an ordinary mobile phone. The Secretary of State was entitled to his opinion, but an Equalities Act impact assessment had been undertaken and independently assessed by an officer

from Adult Social Care, and the changeover to a cashless system was found to be compliant.

In response to questions, the Portfolio Holder stated that he would be prepared to respond to questions about the impact of the changes and emphasised that as car parks were never full it should be easy to book a place via a land-line. He had received 25 complaints about this, all on email, so these people cannot have been digitally excluded. The report considered by the PDS Committee had covered the experiences of other councils in bringing in similar policies; for example, the cost of providing opportunities to buy parking in a shop in Southwark was more expensive than the income raised. The option of retaining a small number of card payment machines had been considered at the PDS Committee meeting and rejected. The Portfolio Holder confirmed that the Council was not a monopoly supplier of car parks.

(B) Parking Charges

At the request of Councillors Jeremy Adams and Simon Jeal, Councillor Nicholas Bennett, as Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety, made a statement on increases in parking charges.

The Portfolio Holder explained that charges had risen by 20 pence, with a service charge of 20 pence for Ring-go. The increase was the first for four years, and had been supported by the Environment and Community Services PDS Committee at its November meeting. Parking income was an important part of the Council's revenue.

In response to questions, the Portfolio Holder stated that on-street parking charges were considerably higher in neighbouring boroughs such as Greenwich, Lambeth and Southwark – Bromley had probably the lowest parking charges in London. He also stated that officers did take into account circumstances such as motorists making genuine mistakes and not being able to receive signal and could waive fines.

(C) Potholes

At the request of Councillor Julie Ireland and members of the Liberal Democrat Group, Councillor Nicholas Bennett, as Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety, made a statement on potholes.

The Portfolio Holder stated that it was not an appropriate use of the statements process to produce the detailed statistical information that had been requested, but referred members to the detailed answer he had given recently to a question from Councillor Alison Stammers.

In response to questions, the Portfolio Holder stated that the Council's contractor, Riney, had tried to get more staff to address potholes, but all highways authorities in the country were experiencing the same problems, so it was not possible to put more crews together. However, instead of stopping when the backlog was addressed, crews would continue working over the

summer to be prepared for next winter. He accepted that the danger from pot-holes and failing temporary repairs was particularly acute for cyclists and motorcyclists, and he was determined that the problem should be brought under control over the summer. He did not have figures on insurance pay-outs to hand, but this could be obtained. He had to rely on the technical experts to prioritise repairs and to ensure that the correct materials were used. He was prepared to see whether it would be possible and useful to differentiate between temporary and permanent repairs on Fix My Street. He informed Members that the Council was carrying out repairs on the Principal Road Network in the borough, despite not receiving funding from the Mayor of London. The Portfolio Holder concluded by stating that if there was abnormal weather again next winter it was likely that the same problems would re-occur across the country. However, there was a rolling programme of comprehensive re-surfacing, and 35 roads had been prioritised in the latest programme, scrutinised by the PDS Committee in March.

86 Budget Monitoring 2022/23
Report CSD23052

A motion to agree the release of £2m from the Health reserve for the ICB as detailed in paragraph 3.10 of the report to the Executive as moved by Councillor Christopher Marlow, seconded by Councillor Colin Smith and **CARRIED**.

87 Basic Need Capital Programme Update
Report CSD23053

A motion to approve the updated Basic Need Programme as set out in Appendix 3 to the report to the Executive was moved by Councillor Kate Lymer, seconded by Councillor Colin Smith and **CARRIED**.

88 Constitution Working Group
Report CSD23054

A motion to approve the following changes to the Constitution to take effect for the 2023/24 Council year:

- (a) Amendments to the rules for questions (as in paragraphs 3.6 to 3.8 of the report.)
- (b) Amendments to the rules for motions (as in paragraphs 3.9 to 3.11 of the report, and including clarifying that the deadline for submission of motions will be ten working days before the meeting.)
- (c) Amendments to the rules for call-in (as in paragraphs 3.12 to 3.14 of the report.)
- (d) Amendments to the Petition Scheme (as in paragraph 3.15 of the report.)
- (e) The additional of a special full Council budget and Council tax meeting (as proposed in paragraphs 3.17 and 3.18 of the report.)

and to note that Officers will report to a future meeting on proposals for the overall structure of the Constitution, including using gender-neutral language as proposed in paragraph 3.16 of the report, was moved by Councillor Nicholas Bennett, seconded by Councillor Pauline Tunnicliffe and **CARRIED**.

89 Annual Scrutiny Report 2022/23
Report CSD23030

A motion to receive and note the Annual Scrutiny Report 2022/23 was moved by Councillor Simon Fawthrop, seconded by Cllr Robert Evans and **CARRIED**.

90 Health and Wellbeing Board - Chairman's Annual Report 2022/23
Report CSD23060

A motion to receive and note the Health and Wellbeing Board Annual Report for 2022/23 was moved by councillor David Jefferys, seconded by Councillor Robert Evans and **CARRIED**.

91 Appointment of Independent Persons
Report CSD23051

A motion to approve the appointment of Mr Andrew Jackson and Mr Gary Rogers as Independent Persons for a four year term until the end of May 2027, and for them to be co-opted to the Standards Committee in addition to the two existing Independent Persons, was moved by Councillor Nicholas Bennett, seconded by Councillor Melanie Stevens and **CARRIED**.

92 To consider Motions of which notice has been given.

(A) Road Safety Strategy

The motion was withdrawn by Councillors Alisa Igoe and Simon Jeal.

(B) Tackling Damp and Mould

The following motion was moved by Councillor Will Connolly and seconded by Councillor Chloe-Jane Ross:

“Council notes:

- A. There are around 18,000 people living in social housing in the borough.
- B. That during the cost-of-living crisis many local families are reducing heating costs, which is exacerbating problems with damp and mould.

- C. The tragic death of a two-year-old boy Awaab Ishak in Rochdale, where the Coroner ruled that living with prolonged exposure to toxic mould was a cause of his death, and the February 2023 Housing Ombudsman evaluation of responses to damp and mould report.
- D. The casework issues Ward Councillors and Officers have experienced trying to get landlords, including social housing providers, to take swift action to tackle damp and mould.
- E. The need to avoid blaming tenants for damp and mould problems in properties and the lack of practical support many tenants face in tackling mould.
- F. With thanks, the ongoing work carried out by officers on housing issues and the powers that the London Borough of Bromley has to issue improvement notices to landlords of properties to tackle damp problems.

Council calls for;

The Portfolio Holder for Renewal and Recreation and Housing to review the Council's response to tackling damp and mould, and in doing so consider aspects including:

- **Improving accountability** – by creating a log of damp and mould casework, to keep track of individual cases and require housing providers to report back on their response. To present high level statistics on damp and mould housing issues to each Scrutiny Committee meeting so accountability and responsiveness of housing providers can be monitored.
- **Improving response times** - by requiring each housing association to provide an action plan on how they resolve damp and mould problems (step by step), including service standards to the tenant and response times to the authority regarding casework.
- **Improving support for tenants** – create an information sheet, or signposting, for tenants and landlords with the information on the best approaches to preventing and tackling damp and mould and where to seek help, this sheet should be available on the Council website. Require each housing association to provide a similar factsheet for their tenants, with detailed guidance on what to do if damp or mould is found and what service standard the tenant can expect. The Council should review this information annually.
- **Use of enforcement powers** – consider how best to use Council powers under the Housing Act 2004 to act against housing providers that do not resolve damp and mould issues without delay. Report back regularly on the actual use or threat of use to the Scrutiny Committee.”

The following amendment was moved by Councillor Tony McPartlan and seconded by Councillor Chris Price:

- “Remove the first bullet point “Improving accountability.” Replace with:

Improving accountability – by regularly reporting on the Council’s damp and mould log at RRH PDS Committees so accountability and responsiveness of the Council and our partners can be monitored. To present high level statistics on damp and mould housing issues, including, but not limited to, open cases, response times, and cases resolved.

Second bullet point: remove “requiring” and replace with to “working with”

Third bullet point: remove “require” and replace with “work with”

Add additional bullet point at the end:

Introduce a council led campaign – for residents to report to their councillors, the Council and landlords, any damp and mould issues in private rented or social rented accommodation. To add as an agenda item at a future RRH PDS Committee, to discuss the results of this with their local housing associations.”

On being put to the vote, the amendment was **LOST**.

On being put to the vote, the motion was **LOST**.

93 The Mayor's announcements and communications.

The Mayor thanked everyone who had attended the Mayor of Bromley awards, showing the Council’s appreciation of some of the very special people in the borough. She also thanked Members who had attended her final charitable event of the year at the Honourable Artillery Company, and Members from across the parties who had supported all of her events. The Mayor had also recently enjoyed a visit to Bromley’s twin town of Neuwied.

The Mayor reminded Members of the opportunity to buy tickets for the Spitfire Prize Draw.

The Meeting ended at 9.15 pm

Mayor

This page is left intentionally blank

Appendix A

Council

24 April 2023

Questions from Members of the Public for Oral Reply

1. From Kyle Sewell to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

In the past 12 months, the Homesdale Road/Page Heath Lane roundabout (B265) has seen several collisions and a very high number of near misses, especially during rush hours. What plans does the Council have to prevent a likely serious collision on this roundabout?

Reply:

There have been a number of improvements to this roundabout. A zebra crossing was built to two years ago.

Engineers to continue to monitor it and further minor improvements are planned for the Page Heath Lane exit onto the roundabout.

Supplementary Question:

My original question mentioned that there had been numerous collisions on the roundabout. You mentioned zebra crossings. What if there were no pedestrians – what are you going to do about stopping cars from experiencing collisions on that roundabout?

Reply:

The thing about the mini roundabout is that if motorists obey the law they do not enter it unless there is a free exit for them.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Sam Webber:

I want to ask about the other end of Homesdale Road, which links into Bromley Town and Bromley Common. There are serious incidents on that part of the road as well and it is linked to the same stretch of Homesdale Road – the B265.

Reply:

It does not follow – he has to put down a question about the specific junction that he wants to talk about.

2. From Ju Owens to the Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces

How much has Bromley Council spent on removing fly tipping and investigating incidents of fly tipping in the most recent financial year (that figures are available for)?

Reply:

We take fly-tipping very seriously in Bromley and we have dedicated additional resources for tackling fly-tipping specifically. We have a very successful anti-fly-tipping campaign which has led in just this year, since January, to eight convictions being secured, with two pending hearings scheduled for 2nd May. We also have one warrant outstanding currently. I can confirm that the cost of fly-tipping removal for last year came to £233,000 and the cost to run the Environmental Crime Team, which is the team who deal with the fly-tipping was just over £230,000.

Supplementary Question:

Does this exclude the revenue from the bulky waste collection service that the Council operates to reduce fly-tipping?

Reply:

Yes, we do have a bulky waste service, and I think your next question is about what would it cost to offer that for free? But there is no suggestion that offering a free service would reduce fly-tipping. You would potentially be having the cost of running a full service bulky waste collection, plus the Environmental Crime Unit plus the fly-tipping service. Often we see incomes from businesses or people outside the borough who would not be eligible for that free bulky waste service.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Simon Jeal:

Can you confirm the financial value of the penalties achieved from the eight convictions?

Reply:

I do not have that to hand but I am happy to write to you with the figures.

3. From Ju Owens to the Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces

Has the Council considered providing a set number of free bulky waste collections per year, and if so what would the cost of this likely be?

Reply:

(Already covered in question 2 above).

Council

24 April 2023

Questions from Members of the Public for Written Reply

1. From Dermot Mckibbin to the Portfolio Holder for Renewal, Recreation and Housing

How many homeless households did the Council in 2022/23 provide with temporary accommodation and at what expense, how many council houses were built in 2022/23 and what savings towards the cost of temporary accommodation does each council house represent?

Reply:

897 new tenancies commenced between 01 April 2022 and 31 March 2023. This number includes those entering temporary accommodation for the first time as well as those requiring move on between placements. The average annual cost per household was £7,110.

The Council completed building 60 properties in 22/23, and commenced works on a further 49, which will be finished in 23/24. The average annual temporary accommodation saving is £7,110 per household.

2. From Dermot Mckibbin to the Portfolio Holder for Renewal, Recreation and Housing

How many council houses did the Council build in 2022/3, how many will be built this year and on what sites, has the Council identified sufficient land and money to meet its target of 1000 properties by 2026?

Reply:

The Council completed building 60 properties in 22/23, and commenced works on a further 49, which will be finished in 23/24. Further sites have been identified previously, and were reported to Members in September 2020 [Agenda for Renewal, Recreation and Housing Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee on Wednesday 2 September 2020, 6.30 pm \(bromley.gov.uk\)](#), and again in 2021 https://cds.bromley.gov.uk/documents/s50089615/Building_Council_Housing_Programme_June_2021_P1_MM.pdf. Since these reports 5 of the agreed sites have been developed or are under development, and further sites are subject to planning applications.

The 1000 homes target does not relate solely to building council homes, but also includes acquisition of homes. Across the building and acquisition programmes, the

Council has already delivered nearly 400 properties, and is therefore on a good trajectory to deliver the target number of 1000 properties despite a very challenging climate. This target is reported and monitored by the Renewal, Recreation and Housing Policy Development and Scrutiny Committee.

3. From Susan Sulis to the Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces

Surface water flooding is an increasingly serious threat to the Greater London area. It threatens the homes, infrastructure, livelihoods, businesses and well-being of Bromley residents living in vulnerable areas.

When will the Council publish its Surface Water Management Plan (2011), and an updated version? Don't Bromley citizens have a right to know?

Reply:

The SWMP was the first of the LLFA flood risk documents published. There is no intention to update that plan as it has been superseded by the PFRA (Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment) and the Local Flood Risk Strategy.

4. From Susan Sulis to the Portfolio Holder for Green Services, Sustainability and Open Spaces

Why are there no Key Performance Indicators for Flood Management included in the Environment and Community Services Portfolio Plans, and how can Members and the public judge how progress is measured?

Reply:

KPI's are used to monitor our contractor's performance and other measurable statistics. I'm not sure how one would meaningfully measure reductions to flood risk.

The EA arrange modelling exercises to assess the value of major schemes based on how many properties have had their flood risk rating reduced by a scheme.

Council

24 April 2023

Questions from Members of the Council for Oral Reply

1. From Councillor Chris Price to the Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces

Will the Council commit to building a high quality perimeter fence around Hoblingwell in this financial year?

Reply:

I am really happy to announce (and we made a joint announcement with the Police last week that went out on social media today) that we will indeed be funding the fence, and I want to take the opportunity to thank our Friends Groups, local Councillors including Councillor Hitchins and our former councillors Stevens and Ellis who I know campaigned long and hard for this. It is frustrating for some residents that this has taken a long time to get to this stage, but we do have to take every decision very carefully, we have to speak to our stakeholders and ensure that our public money is being spent in the best way possible.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Colin Hitchins:

I would like to thank the Portfolio Holder and the Conservative Group for their support in obtaining this fence. We protect our green space from developers, but we also need to protect it from those who wish to destroy it for our community to use. Would you agree that we need to look at all of our green spaces, not just Hoblingwell, to ensure that they are all maintained in a safe manner for our community?

Reply:

I absolutely do agree with you, and I wish my budget was quadruple what it is now, but we must all live within our means. I want to thank the Friends Groups for all that they do, because they are stewards within our community to help us maintain our green spaces when public money is so tight.

Supplementary Question:

I had not heard that news – thank you very much. We are very pleased that we are going to have high quality fence going in around Hoblingwell to help ensure it is a safe space because that area has so much to offer, and as you said the Friends Group there are amazing. Can I ask for a time-frame, so that we know when this is happening, and we can get that message out to people?

Reply:

I also want to put on record my thanks to the local Police, who worked very closely with us to ensure that this was the right solution to tackle the problem. In terms of the time-line, it goes out to tender in May. There are local government tendering and procurement rules, so it takes about three months, then we need to appoint, so hopefully within the next six to eight months, but it will be done as soon as possible.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Alisa Igoe :

It is wonderful news, but in future could the ward councillor be told before the press release comes out?

Reply:

It was, but it was sent out this morning, and I know people have day-jobs and so might not have seen it.

2. From Councillor Alisa Igoe to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Lady Tanni Grey-Thompson has tweeted “This is why I can’t change to an electric car” with photographs of inaccessible electric vehicle chargers on raised kerbs/pavements. Could the Portfolio Holder please confirm to me that all EV chargers installed and due to be installed in Bromley, including in car parks, are compliant with BSI PAS 1899:2022 accessible charging?

Reply:

The EV charge points on highway land and in Council car parks were installed before the guidance was released, however in the majority of aspects they do meet the requirements, lacking in only a few areas related to screen positioning and potentially footway dimensions.

New installations and current ones on replacement, will, of course, follow the Guidance.

3. From Councillor Tony McPartlan to the Portfolio Holder for Renewal, Recreation and Housing

The draft Tenancy Strategy and Tenancy Management Policies will soon go for an eight week public consultation. These policies will have an immediate and major impact on our existing tenants. What plans are there to involve them in this consultation?

Reply:

As a Social Landlord, there is a statutory requirement to consult existing tenants on the Tenancy Strategy and Tenancy Management Policy. The full consultation programme is being drafted with external consultants who have been supporting the Council on this project, alongside the Council’s Communications Team. Following

the eight week period, officers shall prepare a covering report evidencing how consultation has influenced the final documents, these will be presented back to the Executive for final approval.

Supplementary Question:

Would it be possible for this report to be put to Renewal, Recreation and Housing PDS Committee so that we can see it as part of our scrutiny?

Reply:

I see no reason why that should not be possible.

4. From Councillor Jeremy Adams to the Portfolio Holder for Sustainability, Green Services and Open Spaces

Last April, this chamber voted to ‘commit to a target of net zero emissions for all other Council activities (Scope 3) by 2030.’ Yet the Council’s CEO said last month at ERC PDS he has no mandate to expand net zero to contracted-out activities. When will this start?

Below is the link to the page that includes the relevant motion:

<https://cds.bromley.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=115&MId=7106>

Reply:

That motion was not carried – we decided that it would be scrutinised by the Environment and Community Services PDS Committee, which it was. The Committee decided it needed a little bit more thought, because what Scope 3 emissions means is all of the emissions of our contractors, so we need to first find out what the benchmark is. We need to know what our contractors are emitting. Our carbon manager at the time came to the PDS meeting and asked to hire consultants to look at that and the Committee said yes. That work is ongoing and will come back to the PDS Committee for further scrutiny.

Supplementary Question:

To clarify, is the process you are going through now effectively an audit of what the footprint is and where the big impacts might be and the biggest early wins? I am not expecting that you will say we will be looking for negotiations straight away – it is about identifying where we can potentially make gains. Is it about auditing the carbon footprint and working out where we can make gains in potentially reducing those emissions?

Reply:

Yes.

5. From Councillor Kathy Bance MBE to the Portfolio Holder for Resources, Commissioning and Contract Management

Waterman's Square is part of the Heritage in Penge. Can the Portfolio Holder confirm that LBB is responsible for the historic aspects of Waterman's Square? If she is, is she also aware that Waterman's Square is slowly falling into disrepair?

Reply:

The open space at Waterman's Square is maintained by the Council through its contractor idverde. The residential properties surrounding are not in the Council's ownership and therefore not the Council's responsibility, however the Council is responsible for the boundary wall and the gates to the park. Officers from Environmental Services and Property will undertake an inspection to ascertain whether any works are required and report back to me and Councillor Bear once this work has been completed.

Supplementary Question:

I am pleased to hear that because there has been an action plan running around for the last two and a half years and I have tried to work with the previous Portfolio Holder. I have been made aware that Clarion Housing are now moving their tenants out of Waterman's Square so that they can do some maintenance work – is that in conjunction with London Borough of Bromley or is that totally separate?

Reply:

I am unaware of that – perhaps Councillor Bear knows better? (Cllr Bear – I am not aware – we will have to make enquiries.)

Councillor Bance added that Waterman's Square was due to form part of the Festival of Architecture later in the year so it was an important building.

6. From Councillor Kevin Kennedy-Brooks to the Portfolio Holder for Adult Care and Health

The Centre for Mental Health has reported in order to halt the increase in people experiencing poor mental health councils must do more in the areas of living standards, personal safety, wellbeing and care. Can the Portfolio Holder detail how these are being fulfilled.

Reply:

Our Making Bromley Even Better Strategy sets out how this Council is working to develop the social and physical environment where our residents can thrive and where people can lead safer, healthier and more independent lives.

This in turn will be supported by our Local Care Partnership Strategy that will set out how the Council with the NHS and other local care and health services will work together and with residents to improve physical and mental health. Our approach is one of early intervention and prevention.

The Bromley Mental Health and Wellbeing Strategy sets out a joint vision and action plan between Bromley Council and the local NHS to support residents to have good mental health and wellbeing.

Supplementary Question:

I brought up this question because Mental Health Week is coming up on May 16th – 21st, and last year the theme was loneliness and an excellent loneliness project has been done and I know you were involved in that, Councillor Cuthbert. This year's theme is anxiety. Going beyond just those people who are registered as having mental health issues, we now have the cost of living crisis, rising bills and much more pressures. As well as internal remedies, what pressure is this Council putting on our Government to raise more funding to help our residents to not suffer from anxiety?

Reply:

The Bromley Local Care Plan has a particular chapter around South East London strategy. We are working with the South East London Health Board to take some of those initiatives forward from the point of view of looking after people with mental health problems. As I have said before, it is more of a prevention angle that we are taking at the moment. Certainly, this is something that will be coming to the Health and Wellbeing Board in June. We have already had an update recently at the Health and Wellbeing Board about the Mental Health Strategy and I will be happy to circulate any highlights to people who are interested in this chamber tonight.*

Supplementary Question from Councillor Simon Jeal:

Can the Portfolio Holder please confirm when the Joint Mental Health Strategy is next up for review and to what extent the performance in terms of early intervention, and the success of that strategy will be put forward to the PDS Committee for reporting?

Reply:

We have had a recent update at the Health and Wellbeing Board, so that is something that will be taken forward. I am not sure when it will be reviewed again, but we have only just had an update and I am happy to circulate any of the workstreams that we discussed at the Health and Wellbeing Board.

*Note:

- An update on the MH strategy was presented to the Health and Wellbeing Board on 30th March. Key highlights over the last year include:
 - the roll-out of mental health support teams in schools
 - the expansion of the children and young people's mentoring programme
 - the opening of the new Bromley Mental Health and Wellbeing Hub – community based multi-agency MH service
 - Appointing dedicated MH link roles in GP Practices – MH post in each Primary Care Network
 - Transformation of 80 units of housing to support more independent living for people with long-term mental health challenges
 - Recovery Works project helping people with MH back into employment

- Agencies involved in the MH strategy include: LBB, ICB, PRUH, Oxleas, Bromley Healthcare, Bromley Y, BLG Mind, Mencap, Hestia, Ambient, etc.
- Bromley Well – People with poor mental health can access a wide range of support from Bromley Well. Targeted MH community support from across agencies is now coordinated through the Mental Health and Wellbeing Hub operated through Oxleas and Mind.

7. From Councillor Simon Jeal to the Leader of the Council

What plans does London Borough of Bromley have to mark Pride month this June?

Reply:

I am pleased to advise Members that GLL will be running a series of events in our libraries. There are no details to hand at the moment, but as soon as we know what they are doing you will be updated.

Supplementary Question:

It is great to hear that we will be running events in our libraries. Many London Councils also mark Pride Month by either flying the Rainbow Flag or transforming their own logos into rainbow colours. Is that something that Bromley will consider this year?

Reply:

Members who were present in July 2019 will recall the answer I gave then. In my view, practice and tradition have formed over the years that the Union Flag, and on special event days, the Cross of St George, or that of the Armed Forces, best serves the choice of flag to flown over the Civic Centre at all times give its over-arching symbolism for all Bromley residents.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Will Connolly:

How disappointing is it that nothing has changed since 2019 and the same Councillors have to raise these issues about representing our residents. Does the Leader not agree that what better way is there to be proud of Bromley than to celebrate Pride in Bromley and showcase London Pride, regional Pride events and work with our community groups, those that are representing those community groups, and bring people together this year and every year?

Reply:

I think what is most important is to judge by your deeds, not your words. Our deeds to the local gay community, LGBT+ are demonstrated through all our policies, all of our work programmes and ensuring that they are looked after, treated equally at all times is in the vanguard of what any responsible Council will undertake. We talk of regional, we talk of national events, it is absolutely at anyone's wit to attend central London events if they wish to, it is half an hour away on a train. The position in Bromley, and I am very comfortable with this, is that the Union Flag is the flag that represents all Bromley residents at all times, and that is why we take the position that we do.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Alisa Igoe:

I was in the audience in 2019 and I was rather sad to hear the reply. You say deeds – how about something on the website? How about changing our website for Pride Month with more colour, and a news item, rather than the current one.

Reply:

I personally do not see the need to make any adaptations to the website. We are a serious Council, with serious policies, and we demonstrate that by what we do at all times to all of our residents. There is absolutely nothing to stop any group that wishes to go an extra step, to do more, and if the Council can facilitate that through granting licenses for events in parks, whatever ideas people come up with of course we can look at that, but that is exactly why we are doing what we are doing at the moment. It is around that level of consistency with firm actions rather than perhaps temporary words that do not get delivered upon.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Chris Price:

I would really encourage you to look at this again, because for people to feel included it is crucial that they are seen to be included. June is also Gypsy Roma Traveller month - what is the Council doing to help celebrate that. We have in our borough potentially the largest settled Gypsy Traveller community in Europe so it will be great if we can celebrate them as well as celebrating Pride Month, and there will be other months that we should be celebrating and putting to the front of our website. Can I ask what will we do? How can we get the Council to be on-board with this?

Supplementary Question from Councillor Tony Mc Partlan:

Has the Leader considered the disabled members of the LGBT community who might struggle to attend events in central London and who might require events to be held locally. With them in mind, might he reconsider his position about not holding more local events?

Reply:

I can only repeat that if any groups wish to organise events for any other group it is absolutely within their right and capability to do so, but it does not form part of the Council's programme.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Graeme Casey:

I appreciate your position as far as the Union Flag representing all the people of Bromley, but I do take exception to the idea that, even though we are a serious Council, simply changing the colours on the website is a frivolous thing to do. I think it would be a nice marker for many members of our community to show that we do have pride in all aspects of our community. Would you consider it to be a mark of frivolity to change something, rather than the serious message that it would instead be putting out as Councillor Igoe suggested?

Reply:

I would not use a word like frivolous, but I do not think it is essential to the running of the Council. I would like to concentrate on what we can deliver properly in terms of

service delivery, making effective outcomes for all Bromley residents irrespective of their race, religion, creed, colour, sexuality or anything else.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Sam Webber:

I think the Leader referred to GLL. I understand it is Greenwich Leisure Ltd who operate our libraries. But nonetheless, these are Bromley Council libraries. I think the distance implied in that answer – that they are not even Bromley Council library events is a concern, and I would argue that that could be re-framed, surely? These are not GLL events, these are Bromley Council library events. At the very least, I would ask the Leader to re-consider something on our website as a reasonable, rational request, as we put on other advice for our residents and for all events.

Reply:

Councillor Webber's thoughts are noted.

8. From Councillor Ruth McGregor to the Portfolio Holder for Public Protection and Enforcement

April 24-28 is National Stalking Awareness Week. What is Bromley Council doing to promote safety for all residents, but particularly VAWG?

Reply:

Stalking is an important issue, and it is covered in our Safer Bromley Partnership Strategy.

Throughout the year Bromley works with these partners to promote safety for all residents including women and girls.

Examples of such work are Ask Angela, Ask Ani, the Suzy Lamplugh Trust's work and the Bromley Street Pastors from local churches who patrol the streets at night offering support and safeguarding to vulnerable individuals who may need assistance in such things as getting home.

We would also encourage stalking incidents to be reported to the police to ensure perpetrators are found and there is accurate knowledge of the issue locally.

Supplementary Question:

I am aware of the work that the Safer Bromley Partnership is doing. I just wondered if there is anything specific relating to this week, being that it is National Stalking Awareness Week? I am glad that you are working with the Suzy Lamplugh Trust, but is there something specific?

Reply:

There is the Suzy Lamplugh Trust, they are doing their conference, but also Bromley and Croydon Women's Aid are also doing a webinar on 26th April because this year it is around young people and stalking because that is the highest percentage. Both of

those are focussed on that and we work closely with Bromley and Croydon Women's Aid – it is on their website as well.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Alisa Igoe:

I am just on the website. Have we got something about it on the website?

Reply:

I am referring to the Bromley and Croydon Women's Aid website. I am not sure that it will be on our website at the moment.

9. From Councillor Tony Owen to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

What information does he have on Bromley's record of the number of people killed or seriously injured since 2005 and how this compares with other London boroughs?

Reply:

We have made good progress in making our streets as safe as they can be. As road length and road miles travelled varies so much between boroughs, the best comparison of casualties is to either look at the casualty rate per mile driven on the boroughs' roads or to look at the relative success boroughs have had since 2005 in reducing the number of fatal and serious collisions on their streets.

In 2021 – the last year for which comparative data is available – the rate of KSIs (killed or seriously injured casualties) per vehicle miles driven showed that Bromley had the 9th lowest rate of all boroughs in London, and the lowest rate of the boroughs in South East London. Looking at progress in decreasing the number of KSIs in this Borough, in the five years from 2005 Bromley had an average number of KSIs per year of 203 – this had reduced by 2021 to a five year average of 103. This decrease is better than in any other London borough.

Supplementary Question:

Does the Council keep time-series data which can be analysed in context?

Reply:

Yes we do, and perhaps we look at it over a five year rolling period, because if you take one year on its own that distorts all statistics. As Councillor Owen knows because he has been lecturing us for very many years about how we should look at statistics and I am grateful to him for that question.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Tony McPartlan:

The Conservative Party have often mentioned on their local leaflets that we have the safest roads in London. However, you just said that we have the ninth best in London in terms of killed and seriously injured, so, is what was said about having the safest streets in London correct?

Reply:

I assume that they were referring to the decrease.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Alisa Igoe:

Could you just confirm the figures that were on documents at the Environment Committee? The number of KSI casualties projected for 2022/23 is 101, which is 27.8% higher than our target of 79. Also, for 2021/22 the target was 89 and the number was 109 which was 26.7% higher than target. In previous years it was 15.21% and I think there was a 10% before that. So really, when we are looking at those last two years 26.7% rising to 27.8% over our target of 79, do you not feel that actually our casualties are far too high?

Reply:

One casualty is far too high, but as I said in answer to Cllr Owen you have got to take a series of years and look into it over a rolling period. Taking out years in isolation, you get ups and downs and that is not how you look at road casualties. We want to see nobody killed or injured on our roads.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Chloe-Jane Ross:

Do you have any concerns about all the KSI data coming through? When I have looked before I have seen quite a serious accident, and then there is no KSI, there is nothing on Crash Map. Is there a concern? Is there a London-wide issue? Is it quite common that the data is looking good because actually not all of the data from the Police or insurance companies or hospitals is actually getting through?

Reply:

A very interesting question, and it is one that I have asked my officers to look at when we have the report on road safety to the June Environment and Community Services PDS Committee because you are absolutely right, we have got to go on the statistics that we are given by the Police. I doubt that we miss any killed or seriously injured statistics as clearly they would have had the Police or Ambulance Service present. But I am well aware that, for instance, at a junction in my own ward where a local resident lives on the corner and has got CCTV, he has reported far more collisions than appear in the official statistics.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Will Rowlands:

I agree that one statistic of KSI is too many, but would he agree that one of the many ways that you can actually reduce the casualties on the roads is by having the ambitious targets that this Council has?

Reply:

I am not sure that a target makes any difference at all in that sense, but clearly we want to set ourselves ambitious targets and aim to get there. Clearly what is most important is that we improve road safety on our roads, and a comprehensive report is coming to the June PDS meeting.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Kathy Bance:

I agree that we work on these figures of killed and seriously injured, and with this high level of killed and seriously injured we ought to be adding another column of serious collisions, so that all the roads where there are hot spots of serious collisions can be identified before people injured? Is this a statistic that we could start picking up?

Reply:

When the information is available it is put on to a collision map, and that is available on the internet. Clearly, unless it is reported – so many collisions are between two cars where they exchange details and off they go and nobody knows about it. We can only work with the information that we have. I would suggest that you look at the collisions map.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Jeremy Adams:

What is the role of the target of 79 on annual basis if, in decision making you are not interested in single year numbers, you are only interested in the rolling five year average? Why do we have an annual target?

Reply:

I never said that I was not interested in a single year, because that shows us what is happening at a particular moment in time. Over a length of time, if you want to look statistically at what is happening and what direction things are going in you cannot do it on a single year or even two years.

Supplementary Question from Councillor Simon Fawthrop:

Is Cllr Bennett aware that in future the Environment PDS will split out the statistics between those that are killed and seriously injured, which is something that will be coming to future meetings. That helps tell a story about how we can concentrate our resources best.

Reply:

Yes, and I welcome it.

(At this point, the Mayor informed Members that the 30 minutes allowed for questions had expired, but it was agreed that the remaining questions should be taken)

10. From Councillor Adam Grant to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

What is the Council's policy for 20mph speed zones & speed deflection measures?

Reply:

20 mph limits at the beginning and end of the school day with flashing lights outside schools, have been placed outside some schools. In exceptional cases, full-time 20 mph limits may be appropriate in certain locations such as High Streets.

Under a previous administration road humps and tables were installed. The police, fire brigade, ambulance service and London Transport have objected to the proliferation of road humps and raised tables because of the increase in attendance times for emergency calls and discomfort and possible injury to their passengers. They can also lead to complaints from residents about increased noise and vibration from traffic. There is a range of alternative measures to encourage lower vehicle speeds, including vehicle-activated warning signs, roadside posters, safer speed campaigns/events and driver/rider training programmes, for instance the young driver traffic education scheme and Driven by Consequences. I will circulate a list of roads with humps.

11. From Councillor Alisa Igoe to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Reports ES19066 and ES20177. The Secretary of State for Levelling Up has warned councils not to discriminate against older people and the digitally excluded by removing the possibility to pay for parking by cash or scratch card. In consideration of them and any effect on footfall at retail businesses/services, could you please confirm if Bromley Age Concern and the four BIDs were consulted before both these reports came to committee?

Reply:

A full equality impact assessment under the Equality Act 2010 was undertaken and it was independently assessed by an officer in Adult Social Care and found to be compliant.

12. From Councillor Simon Jeal to the Portfolio Holder for Public Protection and Enforcement

Could the Portfolio Holder please summarise actions undertaken within her portfolio in the past year to address and prevent hate crime within the borough, against the national picture of a significant rise in recorded hate crimes.

Reply:

Hate crime is first and foremost a matter for the Police.

However, LBB officers work closely with community and statutory partners to ensure that all hate crimes are captured and passed to the Police who then triage reports and provide support to victims via the CATCH project.

Actions over the last year include the monitoring of hate crime trends, quarterly scrutiny at the Safer Bromley Partnership and local awareness training for partners, members and staff.

Also the multi-agency Channel Panel, chaired by us, assesses the risk to an individual of being drawn into extremism, often fuelled by hate crime and decide whether an intervention is necessary.

Finally Trading Standards prioritise fraud crime against the elderly which is recognised as hate crime by the Safer Bromley Partnership.

This page is left intentionally blank

Council

24 April 2023

Questions from Members of the Council for Written Reply

1. From Cllr Alison Stammers to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Our Borough roads are beset with numerous deep potholes. Traffic going over them causes stone and chippings to be repeatedly thrown up on to the road surface and adjacent pavements causing a slip/trip/injury hazard to pedestrians and potential damage to vehicle paintwork and windscreens. In Albany Road, I understand such debris recently contributed to a resident breaking an ankle some two weeks after the debris being reported. The debris is not routinely removed from the road or pavements during the lifetime of the pothole, nor when it is filled in. It seems to be missed under the street cleaning regime. Can the Portfolio Holder assure us that more will be done routinely to clear our roads and pavements of this debris as well as to expedite the filling in of the countless potholes?

Reply:

I apologise for the state of our roads, as a motorist it is not a situation which I wish to see. The combination of rain, frost and snow weather this year has created severe problems on the country's roads and indeed on many on the Continent and unfortunately, Bromley, like every council in the country, is suffering from multiple potholes.

As London's largest borough we have 537 miles of road, equal to Bromley to Zurich.

The condition of the highway network is carefully monitored through regular safety inspections and specialist condition surveys to identify reactive and planned maintenance respectively. When defects are identified which meet the Council's investigatory levels reactive works are arranged to maintain the highway in a safe condition, based on either a 2 hour, 10 day or 35 day completion time depending on priorities. Unfortunately, the severe weather during the winter resulted in a high number of defects, and our contractor, Riney, does have a backlog of works which is due to be completed by the end of May. We have 13 teams dedicated to repairing potholes and some 70 or more are being dealt with every day and these are being tackled on in a systematic way with the most serious potholes being dealt with first. I have agreed that the teams should continue throughout the summer months.

You will appreciate that potholes and other defects continue to deteriorate, especially in wet and cold weather, which increases the risk to road users until repairs have

been completed. In order to minimise the risk caused by older defects our contractor has been asked to focus all resources on clearing the backlog of overdue works, with 10 day jobs being a priority. This should allow all current overdue jobs, in addition to emergencies, to be completed by the end of April, although as orders will continue to be raised by our Highway Inspectors the overall backlog is unlikely to be cleared before the end of May. Riney are responsible for managing and planning all reactive repairs to make the most efficient use of their resources, and while I recognise that you have concerns for Albany Road, I hope you understand that we're unable to provide precise timeframes for individual jobs, but please rest assured that Riney have been asked to work across the borough and have no reason to avoid any particular areas.

The Government announced in the Budget that there would be an additional £200M for potholes, however this fund is not available to London councils as the government negotiated a separate grant for Transport for London (TfL). Many of the roads in the borough are either Transport for London roads – A20, A21 and A232 or are designated principal roads where the funding is from TfL. In the past few years we have only received £280,000 for planned maintenance and this has been for two specific roads. Despite this, we continue inspect these roads and have repaired them where necessary.

Our Officers are not able to give precise timeframes to individual jobs at this moment but have asked for the oldest reports to be completed as a priority.

Any debris in the immediate vicinity of potholes will be cleared as part of the repair, but any other loose material will be cleared as part of the regular street cleaning regime.

The Council has an annual programme of footway and carriageway resurfacing, details of which are included in the regular committee reports. The initial 2023/24 programme was approved by me in January and can be found here - <https://cde.bromley.gov.uk/documents/s50102541/Planned%20Highway%20Maintenance.pdf> . These schemes are due to be completed during the spring and summer. A further condition survey is due to be completed during the next two months, which will allow the remaining carriageway resurfacing projects to target those of our busiest roads that have been damaged by winter weather.

2. From Councillor Mark Smith to the Chairman of Development Control Committee

Could you please confirm how often the four respective Plans Sub-Committees have met in this municipal year?"

Reply:

2022/23 Municipal Year:

	Meetings held	Meetings cancelled
Plans 1	4	2
Plans 2	5	1
Plans 3	2	4
Plans 4	5	1
Total	16	8

3. From Councillor Mark Smith to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

Recent press reports have confirmed that the Levelling Up Secretary (Michael Gove) has written to all local authorities in England expressing concern about elderly and vulnerable people being excluded if pay-and-display parking machines are scrapped.

In the light of this, could he confirm what steps are being taken to ensure that, in the Cabinet Minister's own words, "there are alternative provisions for parking payments available so that no part of society is digitally excluded" and that high street parking is not "solely available for those who have access to a mobile phone"?

Reply:

A full equality impact assessment was independently verified. Motorists wishing to park in paid for bays can use an app on a smart phone, call on a non-smart phone or ring from a home landline.

Before the changeover 75% of motorists were already using RingGo and the report to the Environment and Community Services PDS Committee last November outlined why expenditure on new machines at a cost of nearly £1 million could not be justified.

4. From Councillor Simon Fawthrop to the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Highways and Road Safety

In the light of the motion on the agenda, will he publish a list of all roads which have -

1. A permanent 20 MPH
2. Part-time 20 MPH

Reply:

I have asked Council Officers to compile a list of full and part time 20 mph limits for circulation to all members to include those which are advisory and well as those which are mandatory. (See Appendix 1)

List of Advisory 20 when light flashes school signs

	Street	School
1	Rangefield Road	Burnt Ash Primary School
2	Sweeps Lane	Manor Oak Primary School
3	Green Lane BR7	Mead Road Infant School
4	Nightingale Lane Tylney Road Bishops Avenue	L A Fountain Academy Bickley Primary School The new sign in Bishops Ave is expected to be reinstalled in May/ June 2023.
5	Foxgrove Road	St Marys Catholic Primary School
6	Belmont Lane Edgebury Road	Edgebury Primary School
7	Bromley Road Royal Parade Centre Common Road	Coopers School
8	Beckenham Lane Farnaby Road	Valley Primary School
9	Southborough Road Oxhawth Crescent	Southborough Primary School St James RC Primary School
10	Belmont Lane Edgebury Road	Edgebury Primary School
11	Bromley Road Royal Parade Centre Common Road	Coopers School
12	Beckenham Lane Farnaby Road	Valley Primary School
13	Southborough Road Oxhawth Crescent	Southborough Primary School St James RC Primary School

14	Crofton Lane	Crofton Infant School and Crofton Junior School
15	William Boot Road	James Dixon Primary School
16	Upper Elmers End Road	Eden Park High School
17	South Eden Park Road and Beckenham Road	St Davis Prep School
18	Poverest Road	Poverest Primary School
19	Hayes Village	Hayes School
20	Tubbenden Lane	Tubbenden Lane Primary School
21	Newstead Ave	Newstead Wood School
22	Leesons Hill	Leesons Hill Primary School
23	Chislehurst Road	Bullers Wood School for boys (the signs are in progress to be installed shortly)
24	Chelsfield Village Church Road Buck Cross Road	Chelsfield Primary School
25	Biggin Hill Norheads Lane Oaklands Lane	Oaklands Primary School

Street

20mph speed limit Zones

BIRKBECK AREA, Beckenham

- Ash Close
- Ash Grove
- Avenue Road
- Birkbeck Road
- Chesham Crescent
- Chesham Road
- Clevedon Road
- Garden Road
- Kenilworth Road
- Mackenzie Road
- Oak Grove Road
- Pelham Road
- Percy Road
- Ravenscroft Road
- Royston Road
- Snowdown Close
- Westbury Road

BROMLEY NORTH VILLAGE

- High Street (part of) East Street
- Market Square

GODDINGTON LANE AREA, Orpington

- Carolyn Drive
- Dene Drive
- Durley Gardens
- Goddington Chase

- Goddington Lane (part of)
- Warwick Close
- Wyvern Close

GRAYS FARM ROAD, St. Paul's Cray

HIGH STREET, Orpington (part of)

KENT HOUSE AREA, Beckenham

- Aldersmead Road
- Densole Close
- Eastwell Close
- Kent House Rd (part of)
- Kent House Station Approach
- Kings Hall Rd (part of)
- Lennard Rd (part of)
- Parish Lane (part of)
- Reddons Rd
- Ringwold Close

MAGPIE HALL LANE AREA, Bromley

- Broom Close
- Cobham Close
- Green Way
- Holbrook Way
- Holmcroft Way
- Lavender Close
- Link Way
- Magpie Hall Close
- Magpie Hall Lane

- Manor Way
- Mons Way
- Mosul Way
- Narrow Way
- Parkfield Way
- Rayfield Close
- Ruskin Walk
- Sheldwich Terrace
- Shell Close
- Sovereign Court
- Stiles Close
- Sunray Avenue
- Turpington Close
- Turpington Lane
- Willow Close

MAPLE ROAD AREA, Penge

- Appletree Close
- Ashurst Close
- Barfreston Way
- Beeches Close
- Blean Grove
- Blenheim Road
- Burham Close
- Challin Street
- Chartwell Way
- Chestnut Grove
- Cornish Grove
- Evergreen Close
- Franklin Road
- Frant Close
- Genoa Road
- Gladstone Mews

- Graveney Grove
- Hartfield Grove
- Hawthorn Grove
- Heath Grove
- Howard Road
- Iychurch Close
- Jasmine Grove
- Laurel Grove
- Maple Road
- Melvin Road
- Mersham Place
- Ospringe Close
- Padua Road
- Pawleyne Close
- Pine Close
- Roberts Court
- Sheldon Close
- Stodart Road
- Westmoreland Terrace
- Woodbine Grove

MARLOW ROAD AREA, Penge

- Ashleigh Road
- Bourdon Road
- Cambridge Road
- Felmingham Road
- Marlow Close
- Marlow Road
- Piquet Road
- Samos Road
- Sheringham Road
- Stembridge Road
- Suffield Road

- Thornsett Place
- Thornsett Road
- Tremaine Road
- Warwick Road
- Wheathill Road
- Witham Road
- Worbeck Road

MOTTINGHAM ESTATE

- Albert Road
- Avondale Road
- Beaconsfield Road
- Belvoir Close
- Blanchard Close
- Castlecombe Road
- Castleton Road
- Cattistock Road
- Charminster Road
- Chilham Road
- Clarence Road
- Court Farm Road
- Devonshire Road
- Dittisham Road
- Dorset Road
- Dunkery Road
- Duddington Close
- Elmhurst Road
- Escott Gardens
- Framlingham Crescent
- Harting Road
- Hassop Walk
- Horley Road
- Horning Close

- Ickleton Road
- Kersey Gardens
- Kimmeridge Gardens
- Kimmeridge Road
- King and Queen Close
- Lulworth Road
- Mells Crescent
- Model Farm Close
- Morsten Gardens
- Nunnington Close
- Offenham Road
- Portland Crescent
- Portland Road
- Prestbury Square
- Ravencroft Crescent
- Ravensworth Road
- Shottery Close
- Silverdale Drive
- St. Keverne Road
- Steyning Grove
- Tenterden Close
- The Crossway
- Thursley Road
- Wayside Grove
- Widecombe Road

SELBY ROAD AREA, Penge - Beverley Road

- Cranleigh Close
- Derwent Road
- Haysleigh Gardens
- Selby Road
- Seymour Terrace

- Seymour Villas
- Tovil Close
- Wadhurst Close
- Weighton Road

ST. MARY CRAY AREA

- Burwash Court
- High Street (part of)
- Park Road
- Red Lion Close
- Rookery Gardens
- Sarre Road
- Sholden Gardens

Part Time 20mph speed limit (outside school) (Mandatory)

JAIL LANE, Biggin Hill (part of) - Charles Darwin School and Cudham C of E Primary School and Pre School

OLD TYE AVENUE, Biggin Hill (part of) - Biggin Hill Primary School

PERRY HALL ROAD, Orpington (part of) - Perry Hall Primary School

RED HILL, Chislehurst (part of) - Red Hill Primary School

RAMSDEN ROAD and Blenheim Road (Part of) - Harris Primary Academy School and Priory School and Blenheim School